

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of

Delaware

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

Shawn Williams

Defendant

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Case

CR06-46-KAJ

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

Part I—Findings of Fact

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a ☐ federal offense ☐ state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is
- ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).
- ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
- ☐ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in _____.
- ☐ a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the ☐ date of conviction ☐ release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

Alternative Findings (A)

- X (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
- X for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 USC §841.
- ☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).
- X ☐ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

Alternative Findings (B)

- (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention


I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by X clear and convincing evidence X a preponderance of the evidence: Defendant did not contest detention but reserved the right of review of this order at a later time which was granted. In addition, the court finds that there are no conditions or combination thereof that will reasonably assure defendant's appearance as required and the safety of the community and therefore defendant should be detained for the following reasons:

1. Defendant's extensive criminal history which began in 1991 while he was a juvenile. IN April 1991, defendant was charged with possession with intent to deliver a controlled substance and was certified to be sentenced as an adult. In 1993 he violated his probation for this offense and was sentenced to prison. Feb. 1993 convicted of possession of a controlled substance as an adult. for this charge he was convicted under the false name Kevin Smith which resulted in an FBI record under this name. All other PA arrests are under the name of Williams. 1999 Robbery and sentenced to 4 to 8 years incarceration.

Note: Williams fingerprints match those of Kevin Smith

2. Defendant also has a number of arrests for crimes of violence, including assault, aggravated assault, rape, sexual assault, harrasment.

3. Defendant refused an interview with Pretrial Services, which is ^{was} he right, but beyond the information contained in his FBI/state criminal records, no other information was available to the court including residence history, employment history, education and family contacts.




Part III—Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

August 3, 2006

Date



Signature of Judicial Officer

Mary Pat Thyng, Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 *et seq.*); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 *et seq.*); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).